

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO 6010
09/941,383	08/28/2001	Dana C. Bookbinder	SP01-243 / 9272-7	
20792 75			EXAMBLE	
MYERS BIGI	EL SIBLEY & SAJOVEO		HOFFMANS	K, JOHN M

RALEIGH, NC 27627

PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary			09/941,383	BOOKBINDER ET AL
		′	Examiner	Art Unit
			John Hoffmann	1731
The A Period for Reply	IAILING DATE of this comi	nunication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address
THE MAILING  Extensions of to after SK (6) MC  If the period for a fixed period for Failure to reply:  Any reply receive	S DATE OF THIS COMM ne may be available under the provi- NTHS from the mailing date of this is teply specified above, the maxims apply is specified above, the maxims within the maxims.	UNICATION. sions of 37 GFR 1.1 communication. rty (30) days, a repl im statutory period reply will, by statut this after the maille	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 N  138(a). In no event, however, may a  y within the stabutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOP  1, cause the application to become Al  1 date of this communication, even if	reply be timely fixed by (30) days will be considered timely, VTHS from the making date of this communication.
1) Respon	sive to communication(s)	filed on	-	
2a)☐ This ac	tion is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.	
<li>3) Since t closed</li>	nis application is in condit in accordance with the pr	ion for allowa actice under £	nce except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	ters, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of C	laims			
6) Claim(s 7) Claim(s	) is/are allowed. ) is/are rejected. ) is/are objected to ) 1-46 are subject to restr		election requirement	
Application Pap		icuon anuron	sieculon requirement.	
9)☐ The spe	cification is objected to by	the Examine	,	
10) The dra	wing(s) filed on is/a	ire: a) 🗆 aco	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicar	t may not request that any o	bjection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyon	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)
Replace	ment drawing sheet(s) includ	ting the correct	ion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)
		d to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a) All b 1. C 2. C 3. C 3. C 4 * See the a 13) Acknowle	L Some "c) None a entified copies of the prior ertified copies of the prior opies of the certified copies opiication from the Interna- tlached detailed Office ac dgment is made of a clair ecific reference was inclu-	f: ity documents ity documents es of the prior itional Bureau tion for a list n for domestion	s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not copriority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage
a) 🗌 The	translation of the foreign	language pro	visional application has be	en received.
14) Acknowle	dament is made of a clain	n for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific plication Data Sheet, 37 CFR 1.78.
ttachment(s)	nces Cited (PTO-892)			
Notice of Drafts;	rices Cited (P10-692) rerson's Patent Drawing Review losure Statement(x) (PTO-1449			ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/941,383 Art Unit: 1731

## DETAILED ACTION

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-43, drawn to an apparatus for heating a fiber preform, classified in class 65, subclass 540
- Claims 44-46, drawn to a method of making a fiber preform, classified in class 65, subclass 385.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either. (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to practice another method, such as one where no gas flows from end to end.

After I or II is restricted, the following applies:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

A- the specie of figure 1

B- the specie of figure 2

- C- the specie of figure 3
  - D- the specie of figure 4
  - E- the specie of figure 5
- F- the specie of figure 6
- G- the specie of figure 7
- H- the specie of figure 8
- I- the specie of figure 9

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for proseculion on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic daim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic daim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Even if Applicant elect the method, Applicant should elect a specie - so as to circumvent a further restriction requirement in the future.

Applicant is advised that the repty to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i). Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John. Hoffmann whose telephone number is (571) 272.

1191. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1700.

John Hoffreen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

jmh